

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/553,223	WILLIAMS ET AL. <i>#15</i>
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jon P Weber, Ph.D. (3) _____.

(2) Louis Cullman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thompson.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney argued that algorithm is what makes rapid assay possible. Will file RCE and present arguments and amendments to rapid time scale and how algorithm makes possible so as to distinguish over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



[Handwritten signature of Jon P. Weber, Ph.D.]
Examiner's signature, if required